**Summary of the Data Mining Issue**

1. **Problem Overview**: The paper explores the challenge of detecting AI-generated text, specifically focusing on the performance of ChatGPT (GPT-3.5) and GPT-4 in distinguishing between human-written and AI-generated text​​ .
2. **Importance**: With the widespread use of large language models (LLMs) like ChatGPT, there is an increasing potential for misuse, such as generating misinformation or misleading content at scale. This necessitates reliable detection methods to distinguish between human and AI-generated text​​ .
3. **Detection Methods**: Existing detection methods include feature-based classifiers, statistical measures, and fine-tuned language models. The study aims to evaluate whether ChatGPT can effectively function as a detector for AI-generated text​​ .
4. **Empirical Investigation**: The study conducts experiments using publicly available datasets, such as TuringBench, to assess the zero-shot performance of ChatGPT in detecting AI-generated text from various generators​​ .
5. **Results and Findings**: The results indicate that ChatGPT struggles to identify AI-generated text accurately, particularly from more advanced generators. However, it performs better in identifying human-written text, suggesting an asymmetry in detection capabilities that could be leveraged in specific detection tasks​​ .

**Relation to Specific Data, Application, Knowledge, or Technique**

1. **Specific Data**: The data mining issue is closely related to specific datasets used for training and evaluation, such as TuringBench and ChatNews, which contain human-written and AI-generated text​​ .
2. **Specific Application**: The primary application is the use of LLMs for detecting AI-generated text, particularly focusing on ChatGPT's and GPT-4's performance in this task​​ .
3. **Knowledge Area**: The issue falls within the knowledge area of natural language processing (NLP) and understanding (NLU), leveraging large-scale language models to solve detection problems​​ .
4. **Specific Technique**: The techniques evaluated include zero-shot learning capabilities of LLMs, fine-tuning language models for specific detection tasks, and using statistical measures to differentiate between human and AI text​​ .
5. **Challenges and Future Directions**: The study highlights the difficulties in detecting AI-generated text, especially as models become more advanced and produce more human-like text. Future work may explore improved detection methods, including few-shot prompting and ensemble approaches combining multiple models or classifiers​​ .

These points provide a concise summary and analysis of the data mining issue presented in the document.